arXiv:1604.07018v1 [math.DG] 24 Apr 2016

RIDIGITY OF RICCI SOLITONS WITH WEAKLY HARMONIC WEYL TENSORS

SEUNGSU HWANG AND GABJIN YUN*

Abstract. In this paper, we prove rigidity results on gradient shrinking Ricci solitons with weakly harmonic Weyl curvature tensors. Let (M^n, g) be a compact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton satisfying $\mathrm{Ric}_g + Ddf = \rho g$ with $\rho > 0$ constant. We show that if (M, g) satisfies $\delta\mathcal{W}(\cdot,\cdot,\nabla f) = 0$, then (M, g) is Einstein. Here $\mathcal{W}$ denotes the Weyl curvature tensor. In the case of noncompact, if M is complete and satisfies the same condition, then M is rigid in the sense that M is given by a quotient of product of an Einstein manifold with Euclidean space. These are generalizations of the previous known results in [7], [9] and [14].

1. Introduction

A complete Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M^n is called a *Ricci soliton* if there exist a constant ρ and a smooth 1-form ω such that

$$2r_g + \mathcal{L}_{\omega^\sharp} g = 2\rho g, \tag{1.1}$$

where r_g is the Ricci tensor of the metric g, $\omega^\sharp$ is the vector field that is dual to ω, and $\mathcal{L}_{\omega^\sharp}$ denotes the Lie derivative along $\omega^\sharp$. Since

$$\mathcal{L}_{\omega^\sharp} g(X, Y) = D_X\omega(Y) + D_Y\omega(X)$$

for any vector fields X and Y, (1.1) is equivalent to

$$2r_g(X, Y) + D_X\omega(Y) + D_Y\omega(X) = 2\rho g(X, Y). \tag{1.2}$$

Moreover, if there exists a smooth function f on M such that $\omega = df$, then g is called a *gradient Ricci soliton*. The Ricci soliton is said to be *shrinking, steady* or *expanding* according as $\rho > 0, \rho = 0$, or $\rho < 0$, respectively. In the case of a gradient Ricci soliton, (1.2) becomes

$$r_g + Ddf = \rho g. \tag{1.3}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C25.

Key words and phrases. gradient shrinking Ricci soliton, harmonic Weyl curvature tensor, weakly harmonic Weyl curvature tensor, Einstein metric, scalar curvature.

The first author was supported by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2015R1D1A1A01057661).

* The second author is the corresponding author. This work was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (Grant No. 2011-0007465).

Clearly, Einstein metrics are Ricci solitons with f being trivial. Another interesting special case occurs when $f(x) = \frac{\rho}{2}|x|^2$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In this case,

$$Ddf = \rho g,$$

which gives a gradient Ricci soliton where the background metric is flat. This example is called a Gaussian.

Generalizing the trivial Ricci solitons, Petersen and Wylie ([12]) introduced the notion of rigidity for gradient Ricci solitons. A gradient Ricci soliton is said to be *rigid* if it is isometric to a quotient of $N \times \mathbb{R}^k$, where N is an Einstein manifold and $f = \frac{\rho}{2}|x|^2$ on the Euclidean factor. That is, the Riemannian manifold (M, g) is isometric to $N \times_\Gamma \mathbb{R}^k$, where Γ acts freely on N and by orthogonal transformations on $\mathbb{R}^k$. When M is compact, a Ricci soliton (M, g) is rigid if and only if it is Einstein. The rigidity of gradient Ricci solitons has been studied, for example, in [7, 10, 12, 13]. Note that Einstein manifolds have harmonic Weyl tensor, and Ricci solitons can be considered as generalizations of Einstein metrics. Therefore, it is natural to ask about the relation between rigidity and harmonicity of the Weyl tensor on a Ricci soliton. In this regard, Cao-Wang-Zhang ([2]), Ni-Wallach ([11]), and Petersen-Wylie ([14]) have studied the classification of complete gradient shrinking Ricci solitons with vanishing Weyl curvature tensor under certain assumptions on the Ricci curvature. In [7], Fernández-Lopéz and García-Río proved that if (M, g) is a compact Ricci soliton, then (M, g) is rigid if and only if it has harmonic Weyl tensor. We say that a Riemannian manifold (M, g) has harmonc Weyl tensor if $\delta\mathcal{W} = 0$, or equivalently if $r_g - \frac{s_g}{2(n-1)}g$ is a Codazzi tensor, where $\mathcal{W}$ and s_g denote the Weyl curvature tensor and the scalar curvature of the metric g, respectively, and δ is the divergence operator, which is the adjoint of the differential operator d acting on tensors. In [9], Munteanu and Sesum extended these results to show that any n-dimensional complete gradient Ricci soliton with harmonic Weyl tensor is a finite quotient of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, or $\mathbb{S}^n$.

In this paper, we consider gradient shrinking Ricci solitons with weakly harmonic Weyl tensor. A gradient Ricci soliton (M, g, f) is said to have weakly harmonic Weyl tensor if

$$\delta\mathcal{W}(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla f) = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Note that this class includes gradient Ricci solitons (M, g) that have locally conformally flat metrics or more generally harmonic Weyl tensors.

Some results exist concerning gradient Ricci solitons and quasi-Einstein manifolds (M, g, f) for $n \geq 3$, with a relaxed Weyl curvature condition rather than a local conformal flatness or a harmonic Weyl curvature condition. For example, G. Catino ([3]) studied generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds with harmonic Weyl tensor such that $\mathcal{W}(\nabla f, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) = 0$. In particular, he proved that the condition $\mathcal{W}(\nabla f, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) = 0$ for a quasi-Einstein manifold implies that the conformal metric $\tilde{g} = e^{-\frac{2}{n-2}f} g$ has harmonic Wely tensor. P. Petersen and W. Wylie ([13]) proved a classification theorem on complete gradient Ricci solitons (M^n, g, f) for $n \geq 3$ with constant scalar curvature, and $\mathcal{W}(\nabla f, \cdot, \cdot, \nabla f) = \mathrm{o}\left(|\nabla f|^2\right)$.

In this paper, we prove that if (M, g) is a compact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton having weakly harmonic Weyl curvature tensor, then (M, g) is Einstein.

Theorem A Let (M^n, g, f) be a compact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton, and uppose that $\delta\mathcal{W}(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla f) = 0$. Then (M, g) is Einstein.

In the case of a noncompact Ricci soliton, we prove the following rigidity reult which is exactly the same property as when (M, g) is locally conformally flat or has harmonic Weyl curvature.

Theorem B Let (M^n, g, f) be a complete noncompact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton, suppose that $\delta\mathcal{W}(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla f) = 0$. Then (M, g) is rigid.

One of main ingredients in proving the rigidity of Ricci solitons with vanishing Weyl curvature tenosr is that the condition $\delta\mathcal{W}$ makes it possible to compute the divergence of the full Riemannian curvature tensor R. Therefore, by using an integral identity containing the divergence of R as an integrand, one can show that the scalar curvature must be constant.

However, in the case of a weakly harmonic Weyl curvature tensor, it is not easy to deduce the divergence of R. Thus, we must find an alternative approach to prove our rigidity result for Ricci solitons with weakly harmonic Weyl curvature tensor. The key observation concerning a gradient shrinking Ricci soliton satisfying $\delta\mathcal{W}(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla f) = 0$ is the following. If (M, g, f) is a gradient Ricci soliton having weakly harmonic Weyl tensor, then two gradient vector fields ∇s_g and ∇f are parallel, and this property implies that $s_g, |\nabla f|^2$, and $r_g(\nabla f, \nabla f)$ are all constant along each level hypersurface given by f. Using these properties, together with a maximum

principle and a Liouville property for f-Laplacian given by Petersen and Wylie ([12]), we can derive our main results.

2. Preliminaries and Basic Formulas

Throughout this paper, we follow the conventions in [1] regarding differential operators and the Riemannian curvature tensor R, with the exception of only the Laplacian. We define the Laplacian by $\Delta = -(\delta d + d\delta)$, the negative operator. For example, $\Delta\varphi = \dfrac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial x^2} + \dfrac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial y^2}$ for a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$.

We start with basic definitions of differential operators acting on tensors. Let us denote by $C^\infty(S^2M)$ the space of sections of symmetric 2-tensors on a Riemannian manifold M. Let D be the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g). Then the differential operator d^D from $C^\infty(S^2M)$ into $C^\infty(\Lambda^2 M \otimes T^*M)$ is defined as

$$d^D\eta(X, Y, Z) = (D_X\eta)(Y, Z) - (D_Y\eta)(X, Z)$$

for $\eta \in C^\infty(S^2M)$ and vectors X, Y, and Z. For a function $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\eta \in C^\infty(S^2M)$, $d\varphi \wedge \eta$ is defined as

$$(d\varphi \wedge \eta)(X, Y, Z) = d\varphi(X)\eta(Y, Z) - d\varphi(Y)\eta(X, Z).$$

Here, $d\varphi$ denotes the usual total differential of φ. Then, it clearly follows that

$$d^D(\varphi\eta) = d\varphi \wedge \eta + \varphi d^D\eta. \tag{2.1}$$

We also define two types of interior product ι and $\hat{\iota}$ for the curvature tensor R, by

$$\iota_V R(X, Y, Z) = R(V, X, Y, Z), \quad \hat{\iota}_V R(X, Y, Z) = R(X, Y, Z, V)$$

for vectors V, X, Y, and Z.

Next, we will describe some basic formulas that can easily be obtained from the Ricci soliton equation (1.3) which are already well known. From (1.3), we have

$$\Delta f = n\rho - s_g, \quad d\Delta f = -ds_g, \quad \Delta s_g = -\Delta^2 f. \tag{2.2}$$

Note that for any smooth function φ, we have

$$\delta Dd\varphi = -d\Delta\varphi - r_g(\nabla\varphi, \cdot). \tag{2.3}$$

Taking the divergence of (1.3) and using (2.3), we have

$$-\frac{1}{2}ds_g - d\Delta f - r_g(\nabla f, \cdot) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

By (2.2) and (2.4), it holds that

$$\iota_{\nabla f} r_g = r_g(\nabla f, \cdot) = \frac{1}{2} ds_g.$$

Furthermore, we can see from (2.4) together with the Ricci soliton equation (1.3) that

$$s_g + |df|^2 - 2\rho f = C \text{ (constant)}.$$

Since $\delta r_g = -\frac{1}{2} ds_g$, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta ds_g \;\; = \;\; -\frac{1}{2}\langle \nabla s_g, \nabla f\rangle - \langle r_g, Ddf\rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta s_g = \langle \nabla s_g, \nabla f\rangle + 2\langle r_g, Ddf\rangle. \tag{2.5}$$

It follows from (1.3) again that

$$\langle r_g, Ddf\rangle = \rho\Delta f - |Ddf|^2 \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\langle r_g, Ddf\rangle = \rho s_g - |r_g|^2. \tag{2.7}$$

Substituting (2.7) into (2.5), we obtain

$$\Delta s_g = \langle \nabla s_g, \nabla f\rangle + 2\rho s_g - 2|r_g|^2. \tag{2.8}$$

This implies that a gradient shrinking Ricci solition has nonnegative scalar curvature. In fact, if $\min_M s_g = s_g(x_0)$, then $\rho s_g(x_0) \geq |r_g|^2(x_0) \geq 0$. Of course, this fact is well known ([4]). Moreover, substituting (2.8) into (2.5) and using (2.6), we get

$$\Delta(2\rho f - s_g) + \langle \nabla s_g, \nabla f\rangle = 2|Ddf|^2.$$

Next, we state some well-known facts that are needed to prove our main theorems.

Lemma 2.1 ([1])**.** *For any Riemannian manifold (M, g), we have*

$$\delta\mathcal{W} = -\frac{n-3}{n-2} d^D\left(r_g - \frac{s_g}{2(n-1)} g\right)$$

under the identification of $T^*M \otimes \Lambda^2 M$ with $\Lambda^2 M \otimes T^*M$.

Lemma 2.2. *For any function f on a Riemannian manifold (M, g), it holds that*

$$d^D(Ddf)(X, Y, Z) = \langle R(X, Y)Z, \nabla f\rangle = (\hat{\iota}_{\nabla f} R)(X, Y, Z).$$

Before closing this section, we will prove a result concerning the rigidity of complete gradient shrinking Ricci solitons with constant scalar curvature and weakly harmonic Weyl tensor. In the compact case, this property is already known. In fact, a compact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton with constant scalar curvature is known to be Einstein without requiring any condition on the Weyl tensor. To prove our rigidity, we will require the following theorem given by Petersen and Wylie.

Theorem 2.3 ([12])**.** *A shrinking gradient Ricci soliton is rigid if and only if it has constant scalar curvature and is radially flat.*

We say that a gradient Ricci soliton (M, g, f) is radially flat if the sectional curvature of the plane spanned by ∇f and an orthogonal vector to ∇f vanishes.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (M^n, g, f) be a complete gradient shrinking Ricci soliton, and suppose that $\delta\mathcal{W}(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla f) = 0$. If s_g is constant, then (M, g) is rigid.*

Proof. If M is compact, then (M, g) is Einstein by (2.2). Therefore, we may assume that (M, g) is complete and noncompact. Since the scalar curvature s_g is constant, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\delta\mathcal{W} = -\frac{n-3}{n-2}\, d^D r_g.$$

Thus,

$$d^D r_g(X, \nabla f, Y) = -\frac{n-2}{n-3}\delta\mathcal{W}(Y, X, \nabla f) = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

for any vectors X and Y. From the soliton equation (1.3) together with Lemma 2.2, we have

$$d^D r_g = -d^D D df = \hat{\iota}_{\nabla f} R, \tag{2.10}$$

and so for any vectors X and Y, it holds that

$$d^D r_g(X, Y, \nabla f) = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

The equations (2.9)-(2.11) show that

$$0 = d^D r_g(\nabla f, X, Y) = R(\nabla f, X, Y, \nabla f).$$

Thus, (M, g) is rigid by Theorem 2.3. □

3. Ricci solitons with weakly harmonic Weyl tensor

In this section, we will describe some properties for a gradient Ricci soliton with weakly harmonic Weyl tensor. As mentioned above, one key property of such a gradient Ricci soliton is that gradient vector fields ∇f and ∇s_g are parallel, which implies that all functions, including the scalar curvature s_g and $|\nabla f|^2$, are constants along each level set of f. The second result regarding such gradient Ricci solitons is that the Ricci tensor can be decomposed into the direction ∇f and its orthogonal direction, which implies that the Ricci tensor has at most two eigenvalues of multiplicity $n-1$ and 1, respectively. This property plays an important role in investigating the structure of harmonic curvature (cf. [5], [8]).

When the scalar curvature s_g is constant, we already know that (M, g) is rigid. Therefore, in this section, we carry out various computations on gradient Ricci solitons with the assumption that the scalar curvature s_g is nonconstant.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (M^n, g, f) be a gradient Ricci soliton with weakly harmonic Weyl tensor. Then, for any vectors X and Y,*

$$D_Y r_g(\nabla f, X) = D_{\nabla f} r_g(X, Y) + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left(df \otimes ds_g - \langle \nabla f, \nabla s_g \rangle g\right)(X, Y). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.1, together with the assumption $\delta \mathcal{W}(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla f) = 0$, that

$$d^D r_g(Y, \nabla f, X) = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} ds_g \wedge g(Y, \nabla f, X)$$

for any vectors X and Y. This is equivalent to (3.1). □

Lemma 3.2. *Let (M^n, g, f) be a gradient Ricci soliton with weakly harmonic Weyl tensor. Then, ∇f and ∇s_g are parallel.*

Proof. Since

$$d^D r_g(X, Y, \nabla f) + d^D r_g(Y, \nabla f, X) + d^D r_g(\nabla f, X, Y) = 0$$

and $d^D r_g(X, Y, \nabla f) = 0$, we have

$$D_X r_g(Y, \nabla f) = D_Y r_g(X, \nabla f) \tag{3.2}$$

for any vectors X and Y. Switching the roles of X and Y in (3.1), we get

$$D_X r_g(\nabla f, Y) = D_{\nabla f} r_g(X, Y) + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left(df \otimes ds_g - \langle \nabla f, \nabla s_g \rangle g\right)(Y, X).$$

Comparing this with (3.1), we obtain

$$\langle \nabla s_g, X\rangle\langle \nabla f, Y\rangle = \langle \nabla s_g, Y\rangle\langle \nabla f, X\rangle$$

for any vectors X and Y. Thus,

$$\langle \nabla s_g, X\rangle \nabla f = \langle \nabla f, X\rangle \nabla s_g$$

for any vector X. □

Denote the set of all critical points of f and s_g by $\mathrm{Crit}(f)$ and $\mathrm{Crit}(s_g)$, respectively. Then, the identity $ds_g = 2r_g(\nabla f, \cdot)$ implies that

$$\mathrm{Crit}(f) \subset \mathrm{Crit}(s_g).$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\nabla s_g = 2r_g(N, N)\nabla f$$

on the set $M \setminus \mathrm{Crit}(f)$. Here, $N = \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}$. Let

$$\alpha := r_g(N, N),$$

so that

$$\nabla s_g = 2\alpha \nabla f \tag{3.3}$$

on the set $M \setminus \mathrm{Crit}(f)$. Note that even though α is defined on the set $M \setminus \mathrm{Crit}(f)$, α can be extended as a C^0 function on the whole of M since $|\alpha| \leq |r_g|$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let (M^n, g, f) be a complete gradient shrinking Ricci soliton, and assume that $\delta\mathcal{W}(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla f) = 0$. Then, for a vector field X orthogonal to ∇f it holds that*

$$r_g(X, \nabla f) = 0.$$

Furthermore, for unit vector fields X and Y that are orthogonal to ∇f with $X \perp Y$, it holds that

$$R(X, \nabla f, Y, \nabla f) = 0$$

and

$$R(X, \nabla f, X, \nabla f) = \frac{1}{n-1} r_g(\nabla f, \nabla f) = \frac{\alpha |\nabla f|^2}{n-1}.$$

Proof. For a vector field X that is orthogonal to ∇f, we have $\langle \nabla s_g, X\rangle = 0$, since ∇s_g and ∇f are parallel. Therefore,

$$r_g(X, \nabla f) = \frac{1}{2} ds_g(X) = 0.$$

Next, by Lemma 2.2 and (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, \nabla f, Y, \nabla f) &= d^D r_g(X, \nabla f, Y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)} ds_g \wedge g(X, \nabla f, Y) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, \nabla f, X, \nabla f) &= d^D r_g(X, \nabla f, X) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)} ds_g \wedge g(X, \nabla f, X) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(n-1)} ds_g(\nabla f) = \frac{\alpha |\nabla f|^2}{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.4. As an application of Lemma 3.3, we can show that the Ricci tensor r_g has at most two eigenvalues of multiplicitiy 1 and $n-1$. In fact, from the curvature decomposition (cf. [1]) and Lemma 2.1, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{n-2}{n-3}\delta \mathcal{W} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} ds_g \wedge g - \frac{1}{n-2} \iota_{\nabla f} r_g \wedge g \\ + \frac{s_g}{(n-1)(n-2)} df \wedge g - \frac{1}{n-2} df \wedge r_g = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_{n-1}, N\}$ be a local frame. Substituting the triple (N, e_i, e_i) into (3.4), we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{|\nabla f|} \frac{n-2}{n-3} \delta \mathcal{W}(N, e_i, e_i) + \frac{s_g - \alpha}{(n-1)(n-2)} - \frac{1}{n-2} r_g(e_i, e_i) = 0.$$

By considering Lemma 2.1 again, since

$$-\frac{n-2}{n-3}\delta \mathcal{W}(N, e_i, e_i) = d^D r_g(e_i, e_, N) - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} ds_g \wedge g(N, e_i, e_i) = 0,$$

we have

$$r_g(e_i, e_i) = \frac{s_g - \alpha}{n-1}.$$

More generally, we can show that

$$r_g(e_i, e_j) = \frac{s_g - \alpha}{n-1}\delta_{ij}, \quad r_g(N, N) = \alpha, \quad r_g(N, e_i) = 0.$$

□

Next, we will show that every geometric quantity, including the function α, is constant along each level set of f. Let c be a regular value of f, so that $\Sigma = f^{-1}(c)$ is a hypersurface of M. Let X be a vector tangent to $\Sigma = f^{-1}(c)$. Then,

$$X(|\nabla f|^2) = 2Ddf(X, \nabla f) = -2r_g(X, \nabla f) = -\langle \nabla s_g, X\rangle = 0,$$

since ∇f and ∇s_g are parallel. Therefore, $|\nabla f|$ is constant on $f^{-1}(c)$.

Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_{n-1}, N\}$ be a local frame. Then, by Lemma 3.3 together with the Ricci soliton equation (1.3), we have

$$Ddf(N, e_i) = 0$$

for all $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} D_N N &= \langle D_N N, N\rangle N + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle D_N N, e_i\rangle e_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} N\left(\frac{1}{|df|}\right)\langle \nabla f, e_i\rangle e_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|df|}\langle D_N df, e_i\rangle e_i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

To show that the function $\alpha = r_g(N, N)$ is constant along each level hypersurface of f, recall that (3.2)

$$D_X r_g(Y, \nabla f) = D_Y r_g(X, \nabla f)$$

for any vectors X and Y. In particular,

$$D_X r_g(\nabla f, \nabla f) = D_{\nabla f} r_g(X, \nabla f) \tag{3.5}$$

for any vector X. Let X be a vector with $X \perp \nabla f$. Since $D_N N = 0$, it follows from (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}X(\alpha) &= X\left(\frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2} r_g(\nabla f, \nabla f)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2} X(r_g(\nabla f, \nabla f)) = \frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2}\left[D_X r_g(\nabla f, \nabla f) + 2r_g(D_X df, \nabla f)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2}\left[D_{\nabla f} r_g(X, \nabla f) + 2r_g(D_X df, \nabla f)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{|\nabla f|^2}\left[\nabla f(r_g(X, \nabla f)) - r_g(D_{\nabla f} X, \nabla f) - r_g(X, D_{\nabla f} df)\right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\alpha = r_g(N, N)$ is also constant on each level set $f^{-1}(c)$. Consequently, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.5. *Let (M^n, g, f) be a gradient Ricci soliton with weakly harmonic Weyl tensor. Then, f, s_g, α, $|\nabla f|^2$, and $2r_g(\nabla f, \nabla f) = \langle \nabla s_g, \nabla f \rangle$ are all constant along each level hypersurface given by f.*

As mentioned above, since $|\alpha| \leq |r_g|$, $\alpha = r_g(N, N)$ can be extended as a C^0 function on the whole of M. The following lemma, in particular, shows that the function α is equal to ρ on the set $\mathrm{Crit}(f)$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let (M^n, g, f) be a gradient Ricci soliton with weakly harmonic Weyl tensor. Then, on the set $\mathrm{Crit}(f)$, we have that $\alpha = \rho$.*

Proof. From the Ricci soliton equation (1.3), we have

$$N(|\nabla f|^2) = 2Ddf(N, \nabla f) = 2|\nabla f|(\rho - \alpha).$$

Thus,

$$N(|\nabla f|) = \rho - \alpha.$$

Since α can be extended as a C^0 function on the whole of M, $|\nabla f|$ can be considered as a C^1 function on the whole of M, including the critical set $\mathrm{Crit}(f)$ of f. Since $|\nabla f|$ attains its minimum on the set $\mathrm{Crit}(f)$, we have $N(|\nabla f|) = \rho - \alpha = 0$ on the set $\mathrm{Crit}(f)$. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let (M^n, g, f) be a complete gradient shrinking Ricci soliton. If f attains its (local) maximum at $x_0 \in M$, then the scalar curvature s_g also attains its (local) maximum at the point x_0.*

Proof. Recall that for a gradient shrinking Ricci soliton (M, g, f),

$$s_g + |\nabla f|^2 - 2\rho f = C(\text{constant}).$$

If f attains its local maximum at $x_0 \in M$, then $\nabla f(x_0) = 0$, and so at any point x near x_0 we have

$$s_g(x_0) = C + 2\rho f(x_0) \geq C + 2\rho f(x) \geq C + 2\rho f(x) - |\nabla f|(x) = s_g(x).$$

□

Proposition 3.8. *Let (M^n, g, f) be a complete gradient shrinking Ricci soliton, and assume that $\delta\mathcal{W}(\cdot, \cdot, \nabla f) = 0$. Then,*

$$\Delta\alpha = N(\alpha)|df| + 2\rho\alpha - \frac{2\alpha(s - \alpha)}{n - 1}.$$

Proof. Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_{n-1}, N = \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}\}$ be a local frame, and let $R_{ij} = r_g(e_i, e_j)$ so that $\alpha := R_{nn} = r_g(N, N)$. It has been shown in [6] that

$$\Delta\alpha = \langle \nabla\alpha, \nabla f\rangle + 2\rho\alpha - 2\sum_{k,l} R_{nknl}R^{kl}.$$

Finally, from Lemma 3.3 it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{k,l} R_{nknl}R^{kl} = \frac{\alpha}{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_{kk} = \frac{\alpha}{n-1}(s_g - \alpha).$$

□

4. Proof of Main Theorems

In this section, we shall prove our main results.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (M^n, g, f) be a compact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton with weakly harmonic Weyl tensor. Then, (M, g) is Einstein.*

Proof. Let

$$\max_{x\in M} f(x) = f(x_0).$$

By Lemma 3.7, the scalar curvature s_g also attains its maximum at x_0, i.e.,

$$s_g(x_0) = \max_M s_g.$$

Thus, if $\Delta f(x_0) = 0$, then $s_g \le n\rho$, because $\Delta f = n\rho - s_g$. This shows that f is a subharmonic function, and so it must be constant. Hence, (M, g) is Einstein.

We claim that

$$\Delta f(x_0) = 0.$$

From $\nabla s_g = 2\alpha\nabla f$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta s_g = \langle \nabla\alpha, \nabla f\rangle + \alpha\Delta f = \langle \nabla\alpha, \nabla f\rangle + \alpha(n\rho - s_g). \tag{4.1}$$

The equation (2.8) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta s_g \;=\; \alpha|\nabla f|^2 + s_g\left(\rho - \frac{s_g}{n}\right) - \left|r_g - \frac{s_g}{n}g\right|^2. \tag{4.2}$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha|\nabla f|^2 = n\left(\alpha - \frac{s_g}{n}\right)\left(\rho - \frac{s_g}{n}\right) + \left|r_g - \frac{s_g}{n}g\right|^2 + \langle \nabla\alpha, \nabla f\rangle. \tag{4.3}$$

Thus, at the maximum point x_0 of f, we have from by Lemma 3.6 that

$$r_g = \frac{s_g}{n}g$$

and

$$\alpha = \rho = \frac{s_g}{n}.$$

Thus,

$$r_g = \frac{s_g}{n} g = \rho g$$

at the point x_0, and so $Ddf_{x_0} = 0$ by the Ricci soliton equation (1.3). Hence, $\Delta f(x_0) = 0$ and so s_g is constant.

□

Let (M^n, g, f) be a noncompact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton. To prove Theorem B, we need the following Liouville property for f-Laplacian functions, which are shown by Petersen and Wylie([12]). The f-Laplacian of a function u on M is defined by

$$\Delta_f u = \Delta u - \langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle.$$

Lemma 4.2 ([12]). *Any nonnegative function u with $\Delta_f u \geq 0$ that satisfies*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \int_{B(p,r)} u^k e^{-f} \, dv_g \right) = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

for some $k > 1$ is constant.

Using Lemma 4.2, we can prove the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3 ([12]). *Let (M, g, f) be a complete gradient Ricci soliton. For a function $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$, let*

$$\Omega_{u,C} := \{x \in M \, : \, u(x) \geq C\}.$$

If $\Delta_f u \geq 0$ on Ω and satisfies (4.4), then u is either constant or $u \leq C$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (M^n, g, f) be a complete noncompact gradient shrinking Ricci soliton with weakly harmonic Weyl tensor. Then, (M, g) is rigid.*

Proof. Let

$$\Omega := \{x \in M \, : \, \rho\Delta f - |Ddf|^2 < 0\}.$$

First, assume that $\Omega = \emptyset$. Let $u := 2\rho f - |df|^2$. Using the identity (3.3), we can easily compute the following:

$$\Delta_f u = \Delta_f (2\rho f - |df|^2) = 2\left(\rho\Delta f - |Ddf|^2\right). \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\Omega = \emptyset$, we have

$$\Delta_f u \geq 0.$$

We can also easily see that the function $u = 2\rho f - |df|^2$ satisfies (4.4) for some $k > 1$ (in fact, for any $k > 1$). Thus, by Lemma 4.2, $u = 2\rho f - |df|^2$ is constant. Since it is well known that $2\rho f - |df|^2 = s_g + C$, it follows that the scalar curvature s_g must be constant. Therefore, (M, g, f) is rigid by Theorem 2.4.

Now, assume that $\Omega \neq \emptyset$. In this case, we can compute

$$\Delta_f(e^{-s_g}) = 2e^{-s_g}\left(|Ddf|^2 - \rho\Delta f + 2\alpha^2|df|^2\right).$$

Therefore, $\Delta_f(e^{-s_g}) \geq 0$ on the set Ω, and the function e^{-s_g} satisfies (4.4) obviously. Note that on the set Ω, it trivially holds that $e^{-s_g} > 0$. By Lemma 4.3, e^{-s_g} is either constant or $e^{-s_g} \leq 0$. Because the latter condition is impossible, e^{-s_g} must be constant, and so is s_g. Hence, (M, g, f) is also rigid in this case.

□

Remark 4.5. When a gradient shrinking Ricci soliton (M, g, f) does not satisfy the weakly harmonic Weyl condition (1.4), we cannot be sure whether (4.5) holds or not. In fact, there are gradient shrinking Ricci solitons whose scalar curvatures are not constant.

References

[1] A. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
[2] H-D Cao, H. Wang and Z. Zhang, On locally conformally flat gradient shrinking Ricci solitons, Commun. Contemp. Math., **13**(2), 1-14 (2011).
[3] G. Catino, Generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds with harmonic Weyl tensor, Math. Z., **271** (3-4), 751-756 (2012).
[4] B.-L Chen, Strong uniqueness of the Ricci flows, J. Diff. Geom. **82**, 363-382 (2009).
[5] A. Derdzinski, On compact Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature, Math. Ann. **259**, 145-152 (1982).
[6] M. Eminenti, G. L. Nave and C. Mantegazza, Ricci solitons: the equation point of view, Manu. Math. **127**, 345-367 (2008).
[7] M. Fernández-López and E. García-Río, Rigidity of shrinking Ricci solitons, Math. Z. **269**, 461-466 (2011).
[8] J. Lafontaine, Sur la géométrie d'une généralisation de l'équation différentielle d'Obata, J. Math. Pures Appliquées **62**, 63-72 (1983).
[9] O. Munteanu and N. Sesum, On gradient Ricci solitons, J. Geom. Anal., **23**, 539-561 (2013).
[10] A. Naber, Some geometry and analysis on Ricci solitons, arXiv:math/0612532v1.
[11] L. Ni and N. Wallach, On a classification of the gradient shrinking solitons, Math. Res. Lett., **15**(5), 941-955 (2008).
[12] P. Petersen and W. Wylie, Rigidity of gradient Ricci solitons, Pac. J. Math., **241**, 329-345 (2009).
[13] P. Petersen and W. Wylie, On gradient Ricci solitons with symmetry, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., **137**, 2085-2092 (2009).
[14] P. Petersen and W. Wylie, On the classification of gradient Ricci solitons, Geom. Topol., **14**, 2277-2300 (2010).

Department of Mathematics, Chung-Ang University, 221 HeukSuk-dong, DongJak-ku, Seoul, Korea 156-756
E-mail address: seungsu@cau.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics, Myong Ji University, San 38-2, Nam-dong, Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-do, Korea, 449-728
E-mail address: gabjin@mju.ac.kr